CRP747 - Bridge Deck and Joint Rehabilitation Bundle Question & Response (Posted 3/26/24)

Question 1	Response to Question 1 Response By: A. Olson
Per one of the local ready-mix concrete producers in Chelan County, the admixes of microsilica and flyash for modified concrete bridge deck overlays will be problematic in securing and developing mix designs for the project. Would using a Type 1L cement with 30% Slag be an acceptable substitute for microsilica or flyash as required by the specifications?	Refer to Std Specification section(s) 6-21 and 6-22 as well as the project special provisions.
Question 2	Response to Question 2 Response By: S. Honeycutt
Pavement repair spec seems to say excavate to 12" deep. Are we expecting to replace the asphalt to 12" deep in these small areas? 3 lifts? Question 3	Refer to Addendum 1. Pavement repair areas shall be excavated then filled with HMA to the depths and lift thickness requirements shown in the Plans per Addendum 1. Pavement repair excavation will be entirely filled back with HMA. Response to Question 3 Response By: S. Honeycutt
Why doing Peshastin starting June 17 during the busy raft season?	There are a School and two fruit processors on the east side of the bridge. The last day of school is June 13 and the fruit processors become increasingly busy as summer progresses. Rafters don't use the bridge.
Question 4	Response to Question 4 Response By: A. Olson
Plan Sheet S06 (Griffith) Section C seems to show some existing asbestos, has an asbestos survey been done on these bridges? What do we do if we encounter Asbestos or other hazardous materials?	Refer to Addendum 1.